

Extending truth table natural deduction to predicate logic

Herman Geuvers¹ and Tonny Hurkens

¹ Radboud University Nijmegen & Technical University Eindhoven (NL)

herman@cs.ru.nl

² hurkens@science.ru.nl

We extend *truth table natural deduction* (the method of deriving natural deduction rules for a connective c from the truth table t_c of c , as it has been introduced in [1, 2]) to predicate logic. We have two variations on the rules, one is a complete natural deduction calculus for classical logic, while the other is a complete natural deduction calculus for constructive logic.

Monotonic and non-monotonic quantifiers A main observation from earlier work is that for monotonic connectives (that is, connectives that come from a truth table that corresponds to a monotonic function from $\{0, \dots, 1\}^n$ to $\{0, 1\}$, as induced by the $0 \leq 1$ ordering), the constructive and classical rules are equivalent [4]. For non-monotonic connectives: one classical non-monotonic connective makes the whole calculus classical. Naosuke Matsuda and Kento Takagi [3] extend this result by adding \forall and \exists . For this, the Kripke models are restricted to those in which the (non-empty) domain $D(w)$ of individuals at w is a constant (instead of monotonic) function of w .

In this paper, we observe that quantifiers can themselves be monotonic or non-monotonic, and that they have constructive and classical deduction rules, which are equivalent for the monotonic connectives, but different for non-monotonic ones. For standard predicate logic, the existential quantifier \exists is monotonic, while the universal quantifier \forall is non-monotonic. This can be observed when thinking about Kripke models. If $\exists x.\varphi$ holds in a world w , it automatically holds in all worlds $w' \geq w$, because the domain element $d \in D(w)$ for which φ holds is still available in w' (or put differently: the truth of $\exists x.\varphi$ in a world can be defined locally). On the contrary, to know whether $\forall x.\varphi$ holds in a world w , it doesn't suffice to know that φ holds in w for all $d \in D(w)$. We also have to know this for all $d' \in D(w')$ for all worlds $w' \geq w$ (or put differently: the validity of $\forall x.\varphi$ in a world can only be defined by inspecting all higher worlds).

There are various examples that show the difference between classical and constructive predicate calculus. One of them is known as the Drinker's Principle: $\exists y.(Dy \rightarrow \forall x.Dx)$. This can be proven by using a case distinction on $\forall x.Dx$ or $\exists x.\neg Dx$, and if one wants to limit the language to the connectives available in the formula, by using classical rules for implication. But it can also be shown using the classical rules for \forall (to be given below) and constructive rules for implication. Another example is $\forall x.(Ax \vee Ex) \vdash \forall x.Ax \vee \exists x.Ex$, which we prove using our classical \forall -rules. (Note that if one uses the standard rules for \exists , \forall and \vee , one has to use classical negation as an auxiliary connective to prove this formula!)

We present our derivation rules in a condensed form, leaving out auxiliary assumptions and conclusions. The rules are instantiated to derive sequents of the form $\Gamma; A \vdash \varphi$, where Γ is a finite set of formulas, φ is a single formula and A is a set of constants. We only give the rules for \forall and \exists , as the rules for propositional connectives are standard (and in our format they can be found in [1, 2]).

First-order calculus Let L be a first-order language. For each finite set A of new individual constants we extend L to language $L[A]$. A *first-order sequent* $\Gamma; A \vdash \varphi$ consists of such a set A , a finite set of closed formulas of $L[A]$, Γ and a closed formula φ of $L[A]$. We recursively extend

References

- [1] H. Geuvers and T. Hurkens. Deriving natural deduction rules from truth tables. In *ICLA*, volume 10119 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 123–138. Springer, 2017.
- [2] H. Geuvers and T. Hurkens. Proof Terms for Generalized Natural Deduction. In A. Abel, F. Nordvall Forsberg, and A. Kaposi, editors, *23rd International Conference on Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES 2017)*, volume 104 of *LIPICs*, pages 3:1–3:39, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2018. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [3] Naosuke Matsuda and Kento Takagi. Effect of the choice of connectives on the relation between the logic of constant domains and classical predicate logic. *CoRR*, arXiv:2107.03972, 2021. URL: <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2107.03972>, arXiv:2107.03972.
- [4] I. van der Giessen. Natural deduction derived from truth tables, master thesis mathematics, radboud university nijmegen, July 2018. URL: <https://www.ru.nl/math/@1060423/master-theses-per-year/>.